

Local Agency Environmental Classification Summary

ederal Ald Project Numbe STPE	Route	Part 1 (020)	Project Descrip Date Created 7/23/2007	Intent of Submitt	al ⊠ Final □ Re-Evaluate				
gency City of Yakima			Federal Prog ⊠ 20.205	Federal Program Title ☑ 20.205 ☐ 20.209 ☐ Other					
Project Title William O. Douglas T	rail - 6th Av	enue and Naches	River Bridge						
End			* 68	1.00 Townships 13 N					
				Ranges 18 E Sections 12, 13					
County Yakima	Water Resource I 38/37 Nac	inventory Area (WRI ches./ Lower Yaki	ea (WRIA) No. & Name Within Puget Sound						
and construction of a s	hared use (t	rolley & pedestri	an) painway over	the reaction rever	/alley Electric Railway Line, Bridge.				
		Part 2 Envi	ronmental Clas		SEPA				
	NEPA		SA Conn	Categorically exempt per WAC 197-11-800					
☐ Class I - Environmental Impact Statement (EIS)				CE Type (from SEPA Checklist) 3					
				Determination of Non-Significance (DNS)					
CE Type (from 23 CFR 771.117)									
Projects Requirir (Documented Cl	4)		☐ Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) ☐ Adoption						
Class III - Environmen	((EA)		Addendum						
☐ Programmatic CE MOU	I,			☐ Supplemental					
		NEPA	Approval Signa	tures					
Prett H. Sh.	WW			7-21-57					
Local Agency Appro	oving Authorit	У		Date					
1/200		A Company of the Comp	1	7/30	07				
Regional Local Pro	grams Engine	ier / Assistant Sec	relary	Date					
Ortin (C. 4	renley		2/6/	08				
Highways and Loca	al Programs E	nvironmenta Eng	ineer	Date					
U.S.		O V		2 /11	108				
Federal Highway A	ulministration			Date					
Completed By (Print O	fficial's Name)	Telephone (incl	ude area code)	Fax (include area code)				
Ross Widener				(425) 348-3059 (425) 348-3					



Local Dency Environmental Classification Summary

		Pa	rt 1 Pr	oject	Descri	ption				
Federal Aid Project Number STPE	Route 148	5(020)	JAC D	ate Cr 7/2	eated 3/2007	Intent of Su ☐ Prelimin		nal Re-Evaluate		
Agency City of Yakima					Federal Program Title ☑ 20.205 ☐ 20.209 ☐ Other					
Project Title William O. Douglas Tra	ail - 6th A	venue and Na	ches Ri	ver Bı	ridge					
Begin End MP Miles 1.			1.0	00	Townships 13	N				
MP	MP		-	110		Ranges 18 E Sections 12, 13				
KP	KP	^k	KM							
County Water Resource Inventory Yakima 38/37 Naches / Lo					v Area (WRIA) No. & Name Within Puget Sound Basin? ☐ Yes ☒ No					
		Part 2 E	nvironi	ment	al Class	sification				
	NEPA				SEPA					
☐ Class I - Environmental Impact Statement (EIS)					✓ Categorically exempt per WAC 197-11-800					
Class II - Categorically Excluded (CE)				l	CE Type	(from SEPA Che	cklist) 3			
CE Type (from 23 CFR 771.117)						mination of Non-Si				
Projects Requiring Documentation (Documented CE) (LAG 24.22)						nmental Impact S	tatement (E	IS)		
Class III - Environmental Assessment (EA)						doption ddendum				
☐ Programmatic CE MOU					☐ Supplemental					
	_	NEP	А Аррі	roval	Signat	ures				
Butt H. Shof	Lily					7-27-0	7			
Local Agency Approving Authority				Date						
May Z Jun					7/30/07					
Regional Local Programs Engineer / Assistant Secretary					Date 2/6/08					
Highways and Local Programs Environmental Engineer										
	J	U								
Federal Highway Admi	nistration				Date					
Completed By (Print Official	al's Name)		Те	lephor	ne (include	e area code)	Fax (inc	lude area code)		
Poss Widener				25) 3	348-3059 (425) 348-3124					

	Part 3 Permits and	Appr	ova	Is Required
Yes No Permit	or Approval	Yes	No	
☐ ☑ Corps of Er	ngineers Sec. 10 Sec. 404	×		Shoreline Permit
	nwide Type		×	State Waste Discharge Permit
	dual Permit No.	×		Section 4(f)/6(f): Wildlife Refuges, Recreation
Coast Guar				Areas, Historic Properties
l-mad l-mad	ne Management Certification		\times	TESC Plans Completed
	a Ordinance (CAO) Permit		\boxtimes	Water Rights Permit
	FH Compliance (See Part 5)		\boxtimes	Water Quality Certification - Sec. 401
	Development Permit			Issued by
☐ ☑ Forest Prac	etice Act Permit		\boxtimes	Tribal Permit(s), (If any)
☐ ☑ Hydraulic P	roject Approval			
☐ ☑ Local Buildi	ng or Site Development Permits			
	ing and Grading Permit			
	c Preservation Act - Section 106		\times	Other Permits, including GMA (List):
☐ X National F	Pollutant Discharge Elimination System			
	Baseline General for Construction		W	
	isition Required	1		
No relocat	ions / 6 1051 570110 144	ies.		
	Part 4 Environmenta	l Con	side	erations
Will the	oroject involve work in or affect any o Attach additional pages or suppl	f the	follo	wing? Identify proposed mitigation.
4 Air Ouglity Ide		emem	ai ii	normation in necessary.
	ntify any anticipated air quality issues. cluded in the Metropolitan Transportation Pla	n2 🗵	Yes	s □ No
	ropolitan Transportation Plan was adopted.		-	
Is the project lo	cated in an A <u>ir Q</u> uality Non-Attainment Area o	or Main	itena	nce Area (for carbon monoxide,
i.	l)? ☑ Yes ☐ No empt from Air Quality conformity requiremen	ts?	ΠY	es 🛮 No (If Yes, identify exemption below.)
is the project ex	Simplification 2 and 2 a			
				Later to developed Crowth Management Act
2. Critical/Sensitivordinances.	re Areas - Identify any known Critical or Ser	isitive /	Areas	s as designated by local Growth Management Act
a. Aguifer Rech	arge Area, Wellhead Protection Area, or Sole	Sourc	e Aq	uifer. If located within a sole source aquifer, is
	pt from EPA approval?		lo	
b. Geologically l	Hazardous Area			
N/A				
c. Habitat. List	known fish and wildlife species present and	describ	e ge	neral habitat.
Middle Colu	imbia River Steelhead DPS, Bull Trout			
	Ver N	7 Na 14	Voc	actimated area of impact in acre(s):
d. Are wetlands	present within the project area? Yes	7 140 11	res	, estimated area of impact in acrets).
				*

	Part 4 Environmental Considerations - Continued							
3. Cultural Resources/Historic Structures - Identify any historic, archaeological, or cultural resources presen project's area of potential effects.								
	Does the project fit into any of the exempt types of projects listed in Sect. 24.82(a) of the LAG Manual? Yes No If Yes , note exemption below.							
	If No: Date of OAHP consultation 1/29/68 Date of Tribal consultation(s) (if applicable) 10/9/07 & 1/2/08 Juli Adverse affects on cultural/historic resources?							
4.	Flood Plains or Ways Is the project located in a 100-year flood plain? ✓ Yes ☐ No If yes, is the project located in a 100-year floodway? ☐ Yes ☒ No							
	Will the project impact a 100-year flood plain? 🔲 Yes 🔀 No (If Yes, describe impacts and analysis conducted.)							
5.	Hazardous and Problem Waste - Identify potential sources and type. Is the project likely to involve site clean-up? ☐ Yes ☒ No							
	is the project likely to involve site clean-up:							
	Will the project create any hazardous waste? ☐ Yes ☒ No (If Yes, describe waste handling and disposal.)							
6.	Noise - Identify potential sensitive receptors or previous mitigation commitments. Briefly describe your impacts to the sensitive receptor, if present. N/A							
7.	Parks, Recreation Areas, Wildlife Refuges, Historic Properties, or Scenic Rivers/Byways, 4(f)/6(f) Lands- Identify any properties within the project limits and, if any are present, describe impacts to properties present. Trolley Bridge over the Naches River is a listed structure on the National Register of Historic Places. Impacts to the bridge will include the construction of a pedestrian / bicycle trail unsing dimensional lumber attached directly to the existing bridge. This work does not constitute a 'use ' of a 4 (f) resource.							

	Part 4 Environmental Considerations - Continued
8.	Resource Lands - Identify any of the following resource lands within 300 feet of the project limits and those otherwise impacted by the project. Describe any impacts to any resource lands identified.
	a. Land use within 300 feet is a mixture of agricultrual, commercial, residential and industrial. No impacts are expected as a result of this project.
	If present, is resource considered to be prime and unique farmland? ☐ Yes ☒ No If Yes, date of approval from Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) b. Forest/Timber N/A
	c. Mineral N/A
9.	Rivers, Streams (Continuous, Intermittent), or Tidal Waters
Э.	a. Identify all waterbodies within 300 feet of the project limits or that will otherwise be impacted.
	Fisheries WA Stream No. Ecology 303d Report No.
	Reason for 303d listing pH
	Date of Report $11/4/05$
	Naches River
	b. Identify stream crossing structures by type. Trolley Rail Bridge
	Honey Kan Bridge
10.	Tribal Lands - Identify whether the project will impact any Tribal lands - reservation; usual and accustomed areas; or areas protected under treaty rights, such as fishing. None
	None
11.	Visual Quality Will the project impact roadside classification or visual aspects? ☐ Yes ☒ No (If Yes, identify the impacts.)

	Part 4 Environmental Considerations - Continued
12.	. Water Quality/Storm Water
	Has NPDES municipal general permit been issued for this WRIA? ☐ Yes ☒ No
	Amount of existing impervious surface within project limits: 0
	Net new impervious surface to be created as a result of project: 1.03 acres Will this project's proposed stormwater treatment facility be consistent with the guidelines provided by either WSDOT's 2004 HRM, DOE's western or eastern Washington stormwater manuals, or a local agency equivalent manual? X Yes \(\sigma\) No
	If no, explain proposed water quality/quantity treatment for new and any existing impervious surface associated with proposed project.
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
13.	
	Have previous environmental commitments been made in the project area? Yes No
	Describe commitments. If commitments are a result of permit conditions, identify issuing agency, permit number and date, and how commitments will be met.
4.	Long-Term Maintenance Commitments
	Are long-term maintenance commitments necessary for this project? Yes No Identify.
	Routine trail maintenance.
5.	Environmental Justice
	Are minority and/or low income communities impacted by the project?

	Part 5 Biol	ogical As	sessr	nent and EFH	Evaluation	ons		an e Frie	
An	swer <u>ALL</u> questions. Refer to the Part 5 B	iological Ass	sessme	nt Checklist Instruc	ctions before	complet	ing this	section.	
Pe	rmits	190							
1.	1. Are any of the following environmental permits, as indicated in Part 2, required: HPA, 404 wetlands, or local clearing and grading, shorelines, or permits related to critical or sensitive areas ordinances?								
Lo	cation								
	2. Will any construction occur within 0.5 min of the following:				Does the project involve blasting, pil driving, concrete sawing, rock drilling rock scaling activities within 1 mile of any of the following?				
	Bald eagle nesting territories, winter concentration areas, or bald eagle communal roosts?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	☐ Don't Know		Yes 🛚	No 🔲	Don't Know	
	Spotted owl management circles or esignated critical habitat?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	☐ Don't Know		Yes 🛚	No 🗆	Don't Know	
N S	Marbled murrelet nest or occupied stand, or designated critical habitat?		⊠ No	☐ Don't Know		Yes 🛚	No 🗆	Don't Know	
V	Vestern snowy plover esignated critical habitat?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	☐ Don't Know	- 🗆 '	Yes 🛚	No 🗆	Don't Know	
l p	ederal threatened, endangered, roposed, or candidate plant species ocations or documented habitat?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	☐ Don't Know		Yes 🛚	No 🗆	Don't Know	
	Canada lynx habitat?	☐ Yes	⋈ No	☐ Don't Know		Yes 🛚	No 🗌	Don't Know	
	Gray wolf habitat?		⋈ No	☐ Don't Know		Yes 🛚		Don't Know	
Grizzly bear habitat?		☐ Yes	⋈ No	☐ Don't Know		Yes No Don't Know			
Brown pelican night roosts?		☐ Yes	☐ Don't Know		Yes No Don't Know				
Woodland caribou habitat?		☐ Yes	⋈ No	☐ Don't Know		Yes 🛚	No 🗌	Don't Know	
	A mature coniferous or mixed fixed forest stand? ☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Don't Know			☐ Don't Know	Yes No Don't Know				
4.	Will any construction work occur within 30 or the Pacific Ocean?	00 feet of Pu	uget Soi	und, Strait of Juan	de Fuca,	☐ Yes	⊠ No		
5.	Will any construction work occur within 3 waterbody, which supports or drains in	00 feet of ar ito a listed	ny perm fish su j	anent or intermitte pporting waterboo	nt ly?	X Yes	☐ No	☐ Don't k	(now
6. Will any construction work occur within 300 feet of any wetland, pond, or lake that is Connected to any permanent or intermittent waterbody?				☐ Don't k	(now				
7. Does the action have the potential to directly or indirectly impact designated critical habitat for salmonids (including adjacent riparian zones)?				(now					
Sto	ormwater								
8.	Will this project's proposed stormwater tre guidelines provided by either WSDOT's 2 Washington stormwater manuals, or a loc	004 HRM, E	OE's w	estern or eastern		X Yes	□ No		
Co	nstruction Activities								
9. Will any construction waste materials (e.g., asphalt or concrete grindings or byproducts, construction-related chemicals, fill materials, or excavated materials) from the project be disposed of at a location other than a permitted disposal site?					ínow				
10.	10. Will the project involve any in-water work? ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Don't K						ínow		
11.	Will the project effect the water regime of supports or drains into a listed fish support	, or utilize ar ting waterbo	ny wate ody; or a	r from a waterbody any wetland, pond	, which , or lake?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	☐ Don't K	ínow
12.	Will construction work occur outside the e	xisting pave	ement?	If Yes, go to 12a.		X Yes	☐ No		
	. Will construction activities occurring outsi grading, filling, or modifications of vegeta	de the exist	ing pav	ement involve clea	ring,	✓ Yes	□No		

Determination
If all the above questions were marked No (with the exception of Question 8a.), or if any of the above items were checked Yes or Don't know, but an adequate justification has been provided to support a no effect determination, then check No Effect. If any of the above items were checked Yes or Don't Know (with the exception of Question 8a.), a biologist is required to conduct a review and evaluate the project; complete the section 7 consultation process per section 24.7 of the LAG manual. Note: If a biologist is required to conduct a review and evaluate the project, this does not preclude a no effect determination.
NOAA Fisheries USFWS
No Effect
NLTAA Date of Concurrence
LTAA Date BO Issued
Date of First 6 Mo. Update
Essential Fish Habitat Determination:
Analysis for No Effects Determination (Required if any item in Section 5 was checked Yes). As mentioned in the no effect letter, the construction of the trail will be taking place in an urban environment in close proximity to two major highways. The listed grizzly bear, gray wolf, marbled murrelet and northern spotted owl are not present within the action area due to lack of suitable habitat and the high noise environment. Listed bull trout and Middle Columbia River DPS Steelhead are present in the Naches River part of the action area. However, as detailed in the no effect letter, impacts to either species or their critical habitat will not occur as there is no in-water work associated with this project and methods to prevent debris from falling into the river during construction over the Naches River will be implemented. Therefore there will be no effects to listed species or their critical habitat as a result of the proposed project.
Part 6 FHWA Comments

Use Supplement Sheet if additional space is required to complete this section.



Douglas B. MacDonald Secretary of Transportation

October 2, 2007

Dr. Allyson Brooks Washington State Historic Preservation Officer Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation P. O. Box 48343 Olympia, WA 98504-8343 **Transportation Building** 310 Maple Park Avenue S.E. P.O. Box 47300 Olympia, WA 98504-7300

360-705-7000 TTY: 1-800-833-6388 www.wsdot.wa.gov

City of Yakima Wm O. Douglas Trail and Heritage Trail Continuing APE Consultation Fed Aid # STPE-1485(020)

Dear Dr. Brooks:

As you know, the City of Yakima is proposing to extend the William O. Douglas Trail with the assistance of Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) funds. The Washington State Department of Transportation's (WSDOT) Highways and Local Programs Division (H&LP) is assisting the city and acting on behalf of the FHWA in processing federal environmental compliance documentation. As noted above this correspondence is intended to continue consultation and provide you with our updated definition of the Area of Potential Affects (APE), pursuant to 800.4. Please provide your comments on our updated APE definition prior to November 8, 2007 so that we may consider your comments in the historic property identification effort.

Your office concurred with the APE for the William O. Douglas Trail on January 2, 2007. Since that time, the William O. Douglas Trail Project has been combined with the Heritage Trail Project (STPE-1485(021)). Enclosed is the APE for the revised project showing the combined area of potential effects. The combined project will construct a section of new trail and repaint the Yakima Valley Trolley Bridge over the Naches River. The trail footprint will be less than 20 feet wide and will be constructed on fill in most areas. There are some areas south of State Route (SR) 12 that will require the excavation of undisturbed soil, however, the excavation will be limited to within two feet of the current ground surface. The track structure of the bridge will be modified to accommodate the trail.

Please review the enclosed materials and provide your comments on the defined APE and any methodological input you feel would help in the identification effort prior to November 8, 2007. A similar letter inviting comment has been sent to the Tribal Historic Preservation Officer of Yakama Nation on October 2, 2007. Please contact me at (360) 705-7879 or deboert@wsdot.wa.gov if you have any questions.

Sincerely.

Trent de Boer, RPA

WSDOT Archaeologist

Highways & Local Programs Division

TDB:ac

Enclosure: Attachments: City of Yakima Supplied Figure

cc: Liana Liu, FHWA, MS 40943

Roger Arms, South Central Region Local Programs Engineer John Heinley, H&LP Environmental Procedures Engineer